

REVIEW

Open Access



# Risk factors associated with surgical site infections following joint replacement surgery: a narrative review

Tao Li<sup>1</sup>, Haining Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Ping Keung Chan<sup>2\*</sup> , Wing Chiu Fung<sup>2</sup>, Henry Fu<sup>2</sup> and Kwong Yuen Chiu<sup>2</sup>

## Abstract

**Background** Surgical site infection following joint replacement surgery is still a significant complication, resulting in repeated surgery, prolonged antibiotic therapy, extended postoperative hospital stay, periprosthetic joint infection, and increased morbidity and mortality. This review discusses the risk factors associated with surgical site infection.

**Related risk factors** The patient-related factors include sex, age, body mass index (BMI), obesity, nutritional status, comorbidities, primary diagnosis, living habits, and scores of the American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status classification system, etc. Surgery-related factors involve preoperative skin preparation, prolonged duration of surgery, one-stage bilateral joint replacement surgery, blood loss, glove changes, anti-microbial prophylaxis, topical anti-bacterial preparations, wound management, postoperative hematoma, etc. Those risk factors are detailed in the review.

**Conclusion** Preventive measures must be taken from multiple perspectives to reduce the incidence of surgical site infection after joint replacement surgery.

**Keywords** Surgical site infection, Risk factor, Joint replacement

## Introduction

Surgical site infection (SSI) represents one of the major complications of joint replacement surgery (JRS). It possibly extends postoperative hospital stay, prolongs the antibiotic therapies, and leads to periprosthetic joint infection (PJI). PJI is a devastating and challenging complication that increases morbidity and mortality rates [1]. Although the incidences of PJI range from 1% to 2.4% [2, 3], it may cause significant psychological stress to patients and pose a heavy economic burden to a country [4]. Many orthopedic surgeons are focusing

on the potential ways to minimize the occurrence of SSI. Multiple researchers have pointed out that the SSI rate is multi-factorial, including patient susceptibility and environmental and social aspects. In this review, we elaborate on the risk factors associated with post-JRS SSI, with a hope to arouse orthopedists' attention to SSI and PJI.

## Patient factors

### Sex and age

Older patients are particularly vulnerable to post-total hip arthroplasty (THA) infection due to low immune resistance and poor nutritional status [5–8]. However, Muilwijk *et al.* [9] revealed no association between age and SSI after primary THA. Baier *et al.* [10] did not find statistically significant differences between the age groups in terms of SSI after total knee arthroplasty (TKA). Many studies demonstrated that male patients had a higher SSI and PJI rate than their female counterparts and the difference was more significant in TKA [11–15].

\*Correspondence:

Ping Keung Chan  
cpk464@yahoo.com.hk

<sup>1</sup> Department of Joint Surgery, The Affiliated Hospital of Qingdao University, Qingdao, China

<sup>2</sup> Department of Orthopaedics & Traumatology Queen Mary Hospital, The University of Hong Kong, 102 Pok Fu Lam Road, Hong Kong SAR, China



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

### **Body Mass Index (BMI) and Obesity**

Obese patients have relatively higher SSI rates than non-obese patients [6, 14, 16–18]. A BMI  $\geq 32$  was found to be associated with high risks of SSI [19]. Falagas *et al.* [20] found that adipose tissue played an active role in inflammation and immunity through multiple inflammatory cytokines and immune mediators, making obese patients more susceptible to infection. Therefore, preoperative weight control and dieting are critical to reducing the risk of SSI.

### **Nutritional status**

Malnutrition is one of the common risk factors. Pruzansky *et al.* [21] determined albumin or total lymphocyte levels to assess the nutritional status and found that malnutrition was associated with a high incidence of SSI. Rasouli *et al.* [22] revealed that low preoperative hemoglobin levels increased the risk of SSI.

### **Comorbidities**

#### **Diabetes mellitus**

Diabetes Mellitus is associated with wound complications [6, 23, 24]. Pruzansky *et al.* [21] reported that 20% of patients with SSI had diabetes. In diabetic patients, inadequate perioperative glycemic control is associated with an increased risk of postoperative SSI. HbA1c is a biomarker for diagnosing and treating diabetes, but a cutoff of 7% alone cannot be used for risk stratification [25]. Fructosamine, glycosylated albumin, and 1.5-anhydroglucitol may be more sensitive measures because HbA1c often produces false findings in patients with both chronic kidney disease and anemia [26].

#### **Rheumatoid arthritis**

Patients with rheumatoid arthritis are prone to SSI because they often receive hormones and immunosuppressants. Many studies have confirmed that rheumatoid arthritis is associated with a high incidence of SSI [16, 27, 28].

#### **Asymptomatic bacteriuria**

Asymptomatic bacteriuria and urinary tract infection are the two major urinary tract diseases leading to an increased incidence of SSI. In a multicenter cohort study that enrolled 2,497 JRS patients, Sousa *et al.* [29] reported that the PJI rate was significantly higher in the asymptomatic bacteriuria group than in the non-asymptomatic bacteriuria group (odds ratio, 3.23; CI, 1.67–6.27;  $P=0.001$ ). In a systematic review and meta-analysis, Gómez-Ochoa *et al.* [30] exhibited that the SSI incidence of the asymptomatic bacteriuria

group was higher (2.3% vs. 1.1%). Parvizi *et al.* [31] found that urinary tract infection was an independent predictor for PJI. The latest research findings showed preoperative (not postoperative) urinary tract infection increased the risk of superficial wound infection and deep PJI [32].

#### **Peripheral vascular disease**

Marusic *et al.* [33] reported that early peripheral vascular diseases led to 6.4 times and 3.5 times the risks of SSI after THA and TKA, respectively, due to tissue hypoxia and delayed wound healing. Park *et al.* [34] demonstrated that the post-TKA arterial complications probably led to delayed wound healing, skin necrosis, deep infection and other complications.

#### **Chronic skin disease**

A 4-year retrospective cohort study that included 2439 patients, suggested that chronic skin disease (*e.g.*, atopic dermatitis, psoriasis) was involved in an increased risk of SSI [9]. Kawata *et al.* [10] also revealed that atopic dermatitis was an independent demographic risk factor for SSI after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction.

#### **Revision JRS**

Revision JRS carries a higher SSI rate due to the long operative time and the previous scars that affect wound healing. De Jong *et al.* [35] suggested the deep infection rate of hemiarthroplasty was increased following femur neck fractures (OR 15.2,  $P<0.001$ ). Everhart *et al.* [28] reported that the infection rate of revision shoulder arthroplasty was 9.1%. Pugely *et al.* [36] demonstrated that SSI rates of revision JRS was high, especially in hip revision arthroplasty.

#### **Other factors**

Femoral head osteonecrosis, osteoarthritis, post-traumatic arthritis, hip dysplasia may not be associated with SSI [14].

#### **Living habits**

##### **Smoking**

Many studies showed that smoking increased the incidence of postoperative SSI. In a systematic review of primary JRS patients, Bedard *et al.* [37] showed smokers had significantly higher risks of wound complications and PJI than non-smokers in both current and former smoking settings. Liang *et al.* [19] indicated that smoking (OR, 4.2; 95% CI, 2.1–6.4) was an independent risk factor associated with SSI.

### Alcohol abuse

Few articles reported on the relationship between alcohol abuse and SSI. Wu *et al.* [6] and Poultsides *et al.* [12] demonstrated that alcohol abuse carried a 1.57- to 2.95-fold higher risk of PJI. Excessive alcohol consumption (45 g/day for men and 30 g/day for women) is commonly found in patients with an infected THA due to impaired liver function and a weakened immune system [7].

### American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) Score

Anesthesiologists often use the ASA score to assess patients' overall preoperative health condition. Paryavi *et al.* [38] showed that ASA class 3 or higher predicted infection with an odds ratio of 2.87. Namba *et al.* [14] found ASA classes 1 and 2 were more closely associated with lower SSI rates than the higher ASA classes (0.34% vs. 0.80%). Yang *et al.* [39] demonstrated that the adjusted odds ratios in univariate and multivariate analyses for ASA score were 1.77 and 3.36, respectively. They found that an ASA class  $\geq 3$  was an independent risk factor for SSI.

### Surgery-related factors

#### Preoperative skin preparation

The optimal disinfectant used for preoperative skin preparation remains controversial. Zywił *et al.* [40] found chlorhexidine gluconate-impregnated cloth in the night and morning appeared to reduce the SSI incidence effectively, compared to nosocomial skin preparation alone. In a systematic review and meta-analysis, Cai [41] displayed that chlorhexidine used for preoperative skin preparation could significantly reduce the risk of SSI ( $RD = -0.02$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ). An alcohol-based solution of chlorhexidine is also recommended as the first choice by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (UK) [42]. However, different opinions exist. Swenson *et al.* [43] showed that chlorhexidine (8.2%) was associated with a significantly higher postoperative infection rate than povidone iodine and iodine polyacrylate. Carroll *et al.* [16] revealed that skin preparation using chlorhexidine (0.5%) in alcohol (70%) carried a five-fold increased risk of superficial wound complications, compared to iodine (1%) in alcohol (70%), particularly a 13-fold increased risk in THA. In a cluster randomized controlled trial, Peel *et al.* [44] also pointed out that iodine-alcohol achieved greater efficacy than chlorhexidine-alcohol. Letzelter *et al.* [45] demonstrated that the combination of the two disinfectants worked better, particularly in JRS.

#### Prolonged surgery and one-stage bilateral JRS

Prolonged operations increase the time of wound exposure, likelihood of excessive tissue stripping, blood loss,

and duration of anaesthesia. All those factors increase the risk of infection. Teo *et al.* [46] revealed that protracted operative time was associated with a high rate of SSI in unilateral TKA ( $90.5 \pm 28.2$  min vs.  $72.2 \pm 20.3$  min;  $P = 0.03$ ). Sheth *et al.* [47] reviewed 17,342 primary unilateral TKAs and THAs and found that the incidences of SSI and PJI were significantly higher if the operative time lasted more than 90 min (2.1% and 1.4%, respectively), compared to the operative time between 60 and 90 min (1.1% and 0.7%) and the time less than 60 min (0.9% and 0.7%, respectively;  $P < 0.01$ ). In a retrospective multicenter study, Liu *et al.* [8] demonstrated the operative time longer than 107 min was significantly associated with a high rate of wound infection (OR, 2.18,  $P = 0.001$ ). Theoretically, one-stage bilateral JRS increases the operative time, the amount of bleeding and the probability of blood transfusion. However, several studies failed to show differences between simultaneous and unilateral or staged bilateral TKA and THA in infection rate [48–52].

#### Glove change

During surgery, surgical gloves may be contaminated, especially in prolonged operations. Glove change reduces the risk of SSI. Kim *et al.* [53] suggested that surgeons should change their gloves at an interval less than one hour. In addition, they should change their gloves after draping, before handling the implant, and if visible perforations are seen.

#### Antibiotic prophylaxis

The use of intravenous antibiotics is the most important measure to decrease wound infection. Siddiqi *et al.* [54] performed a systematic review and meta-analysis based on 31 studies involving 51,627 patients. They demonstrated that the antibiotic prophylaxis was effective in preventing post-JRS infection, but continuous use for more than 24 h had no benefit. Christensen *et al.* [55] retrospectively reviewed 6,080 patients and revealed that single-dose of prophylactic antibiotics was not associated with increased acute PJI and short-term complications, compared to a 24-h antibiotic regimen. Some surgeons reported similar results [56, 57]. Patient-reported allergy to penicillin was most likely to be prescribed with clindamycin (1.1 vs. 80.7%;  $P < 0.05$ ) or vancomycin (4.0 vs. 12.4%;  $P < 0.05$ ) rather than cefazolin (94.9 vs. 6.9%;  $P < 0.05$ ) [58]. However, Zastrow *et al.* [59] found that antibiotic prophylaxis regimens (vancomycin, clindamycin or combined regimen with cefazolin) were associated with an increased risk of SSI in THA and TKA, compared to cefazolin alone. Cefazolin underdosing was associated with a high rate of post-JRS SSI. Morris *et al.* [60] suggested that weight-based dosing of

cefazolin (body weight  $\geq 80$  kg, giving 2 g/d;  $\geq 120$  kg, giving  $\geq 3$  g/d) should be used in THA and TKA.

#### **Local antibiotic use**

Antibiotics alone or in combination with certain substances are placed in the joint cavity to attain antibacterial effect. In addition to systemic antibiotic prophylaxis, local administration of gentamicin-collagen sponges reduces SSI incidence in elderly patients undergoing hemiarthroplasty [61]. Peng *et al.* [62] conducted a meta-analysis and found that local use of vancomycin powder significantly decreased the SSI rate after primary JRS but did not change the bacterial spectrum involved. Buchalter *et al.* [63] reported that vancomycin powder combined with diluted povidone-iodine lavage was associated with a decreased incidence of PJI after primary TKA.

#### **Wound management**

The need to drain is always a controversial issue. In a systematic review on SSI, Resende *et al.* [15] did not find a statistically significant difference in SSI rate between the patients with and without drainage. Doman *et al.* [64] suggested that use of a closed incision negative pressure wound therapy decreased wound complications, compared to closed silver-impregnated dressing (6.9% vs. 16.2%,  $P=0.031$ ). They suggested that a negative pressure wound therapy be used in high-risk patients, especially in patients undergoing non-aspirin anticoagulant therapy. In a prospective randomized controlled study, Kuo *et al.* [65] demonstrated that the incidence of superficial SSI was significantly lower in the Aquacel Ag Surgical dressing group (0.8%, 95% CI: 0.00–2.48) than in the control group (8.3%, 95% CI: 3.32–13.3;  $P=0.01$ ). Some surgeons suggested that antibiotic-coated sutures be used to decrease SSI, but offered no strong supporting evidence [66, 67].

#### **Postoperative hematoma**

The chemical thromboprophylaxis used in JRS to reduce deep venous thrombosis and pulmonary thrombosis may cause hematoma. Hematoma is a suitable culture medium for bacterial reproduction and growth. De Jong *et al.* [35] showed that postoperative hematoma was associated with an increased risk of deep infection after hemiarthroplasty. Saleh *et al.* [68] found that hematoma formation ( $OR=11.8$ ;  $P<0.05$ ) was a significant predictor of superficial SSI in TKA and THA.

#### **Doctors, hospitals, and others**

Preoperative *Staphylococcus aureus* screening and decolonization are helpful to reduce bacteria colonization, transmission, and surgical site infection. Saleh *et al.* [69] found methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus*

*aureus* undergoing the selective treatment showed confirmed eradication, but a significantly increased risk of SSI remains in THA and TKA. This evidence suggests that preoperative bacterial screening and decolonization should be conducted. Weiser and Moucha [70] found that non-colonization of *Staphylococcus aureus* screened in the nasal cavity could potentially reduce the incidence of SSI. Zhu *et al.* [71] revealed that screening and decolonization of nasal *Staphylococcus aureus* significantly reduced the risks of SSI, PJI and superficial infections, compared to the non-decolonization group. The latest systematic review and meta-analysis showed that non-decolonization was associated with a higher risk of *Staphylococcus aureus* infection ( $RR=2.18\pm 0.41$ ) and other infections ( $RR=1.70\pm 0.17$ ) in elective JRS [72].

#### **Doctor's operation volume and experience**

De Jong *et al.* [35] found that a surgeon's experience is an independent factor in preventing infection. Surgeons who had performed more JRS had a lower SSI rate. In a prospective cohort study, however, Finkelstein *et al.* [73] did not find a statistically significant difference between the surgeons who performed high-volume operations and those who did low-volume operations (4.3% vs. 4.9%,  $P=0.65$ ).

#### **Socioeconomic factors**

Wu *et al.* [6] reported that patients living in rural areas had a 2.63-fold increased risk of PJI than urban patients. Rural patients are more likely to experience a delayed diagnosis and treatment due to irregular outpatient visits. Ong *et al.* [74] also found that the patients undergoing public assistance for Medicare premium were associated with an increased risk of PJI ( $OR: 1.34$ ,  $P=0.005$ ). Patients on low-income government insurance (Medicaid) had a higher incidence of SSI after shoulder arthroplasty during the initial inpatient stay [75]. Compared to Medicare, the patients who primarily had Medicaid were most likely to experience a higher (>49%) risk of SSI 30 days after TKA [76]. Poor living conditions and nutritional levels, pre-existing comorbidities, non-compliance with medical advice, and failure to seek timely care in a lower socioeconomic environment render the patients more vulnerable to an increased risk of infection [77].

#### **Seasonal factors**

Kane *et al.* [78] found that incidences of infection were higher in July (4.5%), August (5.4%), and September (4.3%) than in the intermediate periods between summer and autumn months (3.6%) and winter and spring months (1%). For post-TKA infection, the 30-day readmission rate of June (peak) was 30.5% higher than that of December (trough) [75]. For post-THA infection, the

readmission rate of July (peak) was 19% higher than that of January (trough). In a retrospective nationwide study, Yamagami *et al.* [79] also showed that summer season was associated with higher rates of post-TKA and post-unicompartamental knee arthroplasty SSI and PJI. It may be attributed to a larger skin bacterial population at higher temperatures and humidity [80]. In addition, the “July effect” (new medical student arrival) was associated with lower quality of wound care and a higher incidence of SSI [77].

**Prevention of SSI**

For invariable factors, such as sex and age, doctors should inform the patients and their family members about the risks. The surgical team should optimize those modifiable factors to decrease the risk of SSI (Table 1).

**Conclusions**

Preoperatively, the surgeon should fully evaluate patients’ habits, medical history, and health conditions. Some important measures should be taken: (1) quit tobacco

and alcohol or even have a cessation program; (2) optimize perioperative levels of blood glucose of diabetic patients; (3) support the patient’s diet to achieve a normal level of hemoglobin and nutritional status; (4) optimize patient’s comorbidities, such as rheumatoid arthritis, peripheral vascular disease, chronic skin disease, *etc.* and (5) perform nasal *Staphylococcus aureus* screening, decolonization, and antibiotic prophylaxis.

Intraoperatively, the surgical team should strictly control the sterility, shorten the operative time, and minimize the trauma as much as possible. Several issues need to be addressed: (1) use Iodine-alcohol for preoperative skin preparation; (2) change gloves after draping, before handling implants or in the presence of perforations; and (3) use a ventilation system with 100-level laminar flow in the operating room.

Postoperatively, the surgeons should strictly keep dressing change aseptic, follow-up patients regularly, and address complications in a timely manner. The patient should be informed to consult surgeons if there are wound redness, swelling, and discomfort in the knee. The

**Table 1** A summary of risk factors associated with post-JRS SSI

Non-Modifiable Risk Factor	Modifiable Risk Factor	Prevention of SSI
Patient’s factors		
1. Gender and age	1. BMI and obesity	1. Weight control
2. Primary diagnosis	2. Nutritional status	2. Corresponding dietary support
3. ASA class	3. Comorbidities (diabetes mellitus, rheumatoid arthritis, asymptomatic bacteriuria, peripheral vascular disease, chronic skin disease)	3. Perioperative blood glucose control, optimization of patients’ comorbidities
	4. Living habits (smoking, alcohol abuse)	4. Reduced tobacco and alcohol dependence
Surgery-related factors		
	1. Preoperative skin preparation	1. Iodine-alcohol or chlorhexidine for preoperative skin preparation
	2. Prolonged duration of surgery and one-stage bilateral JRS	2. Control of the sterility during the operation, shortening of operation time and minimization of the trauma
	3. Glove changes	3. Glove changes
	4. Antibiotic prophylaxis	4. Reasonable antibiotic prophylaxis
	5. Antibacterial preparations for topical use	5. Application of ciNPWT
	6. Wound management	6. The choice of chemical thromboprophylaxis
	7. Postoperative hematoma	
Doctors, hospitals and other factors		
1. Socioeconomic factors	1. Preoperative staphylococcus aureus screening and decolonization	1. Active nasal <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> screening and decolonization
2. Seasonal factors	2. Doctor’s operation volume and experience	2. Strict aseptic dressing change after operation, regular follow-up, and timely management of postoperative complications

SSI, Surgical Site Infection

ASA, American Society of Anesthesiologists

BMI, Body Mass Index

JRS, Joint Replacement Surgery

ciNPWT, Close Incisional Negative Pressure Wound Therapy

patient should be prescribed oral antibiotics to prevent blood-borne infection, if they had dental procedures.

#### Abbreviations

SSI	Surgical site infection
JRS	Joint replacement surgery
PJI	Periprosthetic joint infection
THA	Total hip arthroplasty
TKA	Total knee arthroplasty
ASA	American Society of Anesthesiologists
ciNPWT	Closed incision negative pressure wound therapy
BMI	Body Mass Index

#### Acknowledgements

Not applicable

#### Authors' contributions

T Li, PK Chan, WC Fung, H Fu and HN Zhang searched the literature and drafted the manuscript. PK Chan and KY Chiu developed the idea for the study and revised the manuscript. The authors read and authorized the final manuscript for publication.

#### Funding

Not applicable

#### Availability of data and materials

No applicable

#### Declarations

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate:

Not applicable

#### Consent for publication

Not applicable

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests and they were not involved in the journal's review of or decisions related to, this manuscript.

Received: 14 September 2021 Accepted: 31 December 2021

Published online: 01 May 2022

#### References

- Gundtoft PH, Pedersen AB, Varnum C, Overgaard S. Increased mortality after prosthetic joint infection in primary THA. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 2017;475(11):2623–31.
- Kapadia BH, Berg RA, Daley JA, Fritz J, Bhavie A, Mont MA. Periprosthetic joint infection. *Lancet*. 2016;387(10016):386–94. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(14\)61798-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61798-0).
- Del Pozo JL, Patel R. Clinical practice. Infection associated with prosthetic joints. *N Engl J Med*. 2009;361(8):787–94. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc p0905029>.
- Kurtz SM, Lau E, Watson H, Schmier JK, Parvizi J. Economic burden of periprosthetic joint infection in the United States. *J Arthroplasty*. 2012;27(8 Suppl):61–5.e1. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2012.02.022>.
- Ridgeway S, Wilson J, Charlet A, Kafatos G, Pearson A, Coello R. Infection of the surgical site after arthroplasty of the hip. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. 2005;87(6):844–50. <https://doi.org/10.1302/0301-620X.87B6.15121>.
- Wu C, Qu X, Liu F, Li H, Mao Y, Zhu Z. Risk factors for periprosthetic joint infection after total hip arthroplasty and total knee arthroplasty in Chinese patients. *PLoS ONE*. 2014;9(4):e95300. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0095300>.
- Cordero-Ampuero J, de Dios M. What are the risk factors for infection in hemiarthroplasties and total hip arthroplasties? *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 2010;468(12):3268–77. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11999-010-1411-8>.
- Liu X, Dong Z, Li J, Feng Y, Cao G, Song X, Yang J. Factors affecting the incidence of surgical site infection after geriatric hip fracture surgery: a retrospective multicenter study. *J Orthop Surg Res*. 2019;14(1):382. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13018-019-1449-6>.
- Muilwijk J, Walenkamp GH, Voss A, Wille JC, van den Hof S. Random effect modelling of patient-related risk factors in orthopaedic procedures: results from the Dutch nosocomial infection surveillance network 'PREZIES'. *J Hosp Infect*. 2006;62(3):319–26. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2005.08.006>.
- Baier C, Adelmund S, Schwab F, Lassahn C, Chaberny IF, Gossé F, Vonberg RP, Ebadi E. Incidence and risk factors of surgical site infection after total knee arthroplasty: Results of a retrospective cohort study. *Am J Infect Control*. 2019;47(10):1270–2. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2019.04.010>.
- Willis-Owen CA, Konyves A, Martin DK. Factors affecting the incidence of infection in hip and knee replacement: an analysis of 5277 cases. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. 2010;92(8):1128–33. <https://doi.org/10.1302/0301-620X.92B8.24333>.
- Poultides LA, Ma Y, Della Valle AG, Chiu YL, Sculco TP, Memtsoudis SG. In-hospital surgical site infections after primary hip and knee arthroplasty—incidence and risk factors. *J Arthroplasty*. 2013;28(3):385–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2012.06.027>.
- Dale H, Fenstad AM, Hallan G, Havelin LI, Furnes O, Overgaard S, Pedersen AB, Kärrholm J, Garellick G, Pulkkinen P, Eskelinen A, Mäkelä K, Engesaeter LB. Increasing risk of prosthetic joint infection after total hip arthroplasty. *Acta Orthop*. 2012;83(5):449–58. <https://doi.org/10.3109/17453674.2012.733918>.
- Namba RS, Inacio MC, Paxton EW. Risk factors associated with surgical site infection in 30,491 primary total hip replacements. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. 2012;94(10):1330–8. <https://doi.org/10.1302/0301-620X.94B10.29184>.
- Resende VAC, Neto AC, Nunes C, Andrade R, Espregueira-Mendes J, Lopes S. Higher age, female gender, osteoarthritis and blood transfusion protect against periprosthetic joint infection in total hip or knee arthroplasties: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. 2021;29(1):8–43. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00167-018-5231-9>.
- Carroll K, Dowsey M, Choong P, Peel T. Risk factors for superficial wound complications in hip and knee arthroplasty. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2014;20(2):130–5. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1469-0691.12209>.
- Maoz G, Phillips M, Bosco J, Slover J, Stachel A, Inneh I, Iorio R. The Otto Aufranc Award: Modifiable versus nonmodifiable risk factors for infection after hip arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 2015;473(2):453–9. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11999-014-3780-x>.
- Bozic KJ, Lau E, Kurtz S, Ong K, Rubash H, Vail TP, Berry DJ. Patient-related risk factors for periprosthetic joint infection and postoperative mortality following total hip arthroplasty in Medicare patients. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2012;94(9):794–800. <https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.K.00072>.
- Liang Z, Rong K, Gu W, Yu X, Fang R, Deng Y, Lu L. Surgical site infection following elective orthopaedic surgeries in geriatric patients: Incidence and associated risk factors. *Int Wound J*. 2019;16(3):773–80. <https://doi.org/10.1111/iwj.13096>.
- Falagas ME, Kompoti M. Obesity and infection. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2006;6(7):438–46. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(06\)70523-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(06)70523-0).
- Pruzansky JS, Bronson MJ, Grelsamer RP, Strauss E, Moucha CS. Prevalence of modifiable surgical site infection risk factors in hip and knee joint arthroplasty patients at an urban academic hospital. *J Arthroplasty*. 2014;29(2):272–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2013.06.019>.
- Rasouli MR, Restrepo C, Maltenfort MG, Purtill JJ, Parvizi J. Risk factors for surgical site infection following total joint arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2014;96(18):e158. <https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.M.01363>.
- Song KH, Kim ES, Kim YK, Jin HY, Jeong SY, Kwak YG, Cho YK, Sung J, Lee YS, Oh HB, Kim TK, Koo KH, Kim EC, Kim JM, Choi TY, Kim HY, Choi HJ, Kim HB. Differences in the risk factors for surgical site infection between total hip arthroplasty and total knee arthroplasty in the Korean Nosocomial Infections Surveillance System (KONIS). *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2012;33(11):1086–93. <https://doi.org/10.1086/668020>.
- Iorio R, Williams KM, Marcantonio AJ, Specht LM, Tilzey JF, Healy WL. Diabetes mellitus, hemoglobin A1C, and the incidence of total joint arthroplasty infection. *J Arthroplasty*. 2012;27(5):726–9.e1. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2011.09.013>.

25. Shohat N, Muhsen K, Gilat R, Rondon AJ, Chen AF, Parvizi J. Inadequate Glycemic Control Is Associated With Increased Surgical Site Infection in Total Joint Arthroplasty: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Arthroplasty*. 2018;33(7):2312-2321.e3. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2018.02.020>.
26. Kaiafa G, Veneti S, Polychronopoulos G, Pilalas D, Daios S, Kanellos I, Didangelos T, Pagoni S, Savopoulos C. Is HbA1c an ideal biomarker of well-controlled diabetes? *Postgrad Med J*. 2021;97(1148):380-3. <https://doi.org/10.1136/postgradmedj-2020-138756>.
27. Momohara S, Kawakami K, Iwamoto T, Yano K, Sakuma Y, Hiroshima R, Imamura H, Masuda I, Tokita A, Ikari K. Prosthetic joint infection after total hip or knee arthroplasty in rheumatoid arthritis patients treated with nonbiologic and biologic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs. *Mod Rheumatol*. 2011;21(5):469-75. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10165-011-0423-x>.
28. Everhart JS, Bishop JY, Barlow JD. Medical comorbidities and perioperative allogeneic red blood cell transfusion are risk factors for surgical site infection after shoulder arthroplasty. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg*. 2017;26(11):1922-30. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jse.2017.04.006>.
29. Sousa R, Muñoz-Mahamad E, Quayle J, Dias da Costa L, Casals C, Scott P, Leite P, Vilanova P, Garcia S, Ramos MH, Dias J, Soriano A, Guyot A. Is asymptomatic bacteriuria a risk factor for prosthetic joint infection? *Clin Infect Dis*. 2014;59(1):41-7. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciu235>.
30. Gómez-Ochoa SA, Espín-Chico BB, García-Rueda NA, Vega-Vera A, Osma-Rueda JL. Risk of Surgical Site Infection in Patients with Asymptomatic Bacteriuria or Abnormal Urinalysis before Joint Arthroplasty: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Surg Infect (Larchmt)*. 2019;20(3):159-66. <https://doi.org/10.1089/sur.2018.201>.
31. Pulido L, Ghanem E, Joshi A, Purtil JJ, Parvizi J. Periprosthetic joint infection: the incidence, timing, and predisposing factors. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 2008;466(7):1710-5. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11999-008-0209-4>.
32. Schmitt DR, Schneider AM, Brown NM. Impact of Perioperative Urinary Tract Infection on Surgical Site Infection in Patients Undergoing Primary Hip and Knee Arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty*. 2020;35(10):2977-82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2020.05.025>.
33. Marusic V, Markovic-Denic L, Djuric O, Cirkovic A, Nikolic V, Dubljanin-Raspopovic E, Kadija M. Incidence and Risk Factors of 30-Day Surgical Site Infection after Primary Total Joint Arthroplasty in a Middle-Income Country: A Single-Center Experience. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021;18(3):863. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18030863>.
34. Park IH, Lee SC, Park IS, Nam CH, Ahn HS, Park HY, Gondalia VH, Jung KA. Asymptomatic peripheral vascular disease in total knee arthroplasty: preoperative prevalence and risk factors. *J Orthop Traumatol*. 2015;16(1):23-6. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10195-014-0305-z>.
35. de Jong L, Klem TMAL, Kuijper TM, Roukema GR. Factors affecting the rate of surgical site infection in patients after hemiarthroplasty of the hip following a fracture of the neck of the femur. *Bone Joint J*. 2017;99-B(8):1088-94. <https://doi.org/10.1302/0301-620X.99B8.BJJ-2016-1119.R1>.
36. Pugely AJ, Martin CT, Gao Y, Schweizer ML, Callaghan JJ. The incidence of and Risk Factors for 30-Day Surgical Site Infections Following Primary and Revision Total Joint Arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty*. 2015;30(9 Suppl):47-50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2015.01.063>.
37. Bedard NA, DeMik DE, Owens JM, Glass NA, DeBerg J, Callaghan JJ. Tobacco Use and Risk of Wound Complications and Periprosthetic Joint Infection: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Primary Total Joint Arthroplasty Procedures. *J Arthroplasty*. 2019;34(2):385-396.e4. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2018.09.089>.
38. Paryavi E, Stall A, Gupta R, Scharfstein DO, Castillo RC, Zadnik M, Hui E, O'Toole RV. Predictive model for surgical site infection risk after surgery for high-energy lower-extremity fractures: development of the risk of infection in orthopedic trauma surgery score. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg*. 2013;74(6):1521-7. <https://doi.org/10.1097/TA.0b013e318292158d>.
39. Yang G, Zhu Y, Zhang Y. Prognostic risk factors of surgical site infection after primary joint arthroplasty: A retrospective cohort study. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2020;99(8):e19283. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000000019283>.
40. Zywiel MG, Daley JA, Delanois RE, Naziri Q, Johnson AJ, Mont MA. Advance preoperative chlorhexidine reduces the incidence of surgical site infections in knee arthroplasty. *Int Orthop*. 2011;35(7):1001-6. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00264-010-1078-5>.
41. Cai Y, Xu K, Hou W, Yang Z, Xu P. Preoperative chlorhexidine reduces the incidence of surgical site infections in total knee and hip arthroplasty: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Surg*. 2017;39:221-8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsu.2017.02.004>.
42. exceptional surveillance of surgical site infections. prevention and treatment (NICE guideline NG125) [Internet]. London: National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (UK); 2019.
43. Swenson BR, Hedrick TL, Metzger R, Bonatti H, Pruett TL, Sawyer RG. Effects of preoperative skin preparation on postoperative wound infection rates: a prospective study of 3 skin preparation protocols. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2009;30(10):964-71. <https://doi.org/10.1086/605926>.
44. Peel TN, Dowsey MM, Buising KL, Cheng AC, Choong PFM. Chlorhexidine-alcohol versus iodine-alcohol for surgical site skin preparation in an elective arthroplasty (ACAISA) study: a cluster randomized controlled trial. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2019;25(10):1239-45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2019.06.016>.
45. Letzelter J, Hill JB, Hacquebord J. An Overview of Skin Antiseptics Used in Orthopaedic Surgery Procedures. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. 2019;27(16):599-606. <https://doi.org/10.5435/JAAOS-D-18-00105>.
46. Teo BJX, Yeo W, Chong HC, Tan AHC. Surgical site infection after primary total knee arthroplasty is associated with a longer duration of surgery. *J Orthop Surg (Hong Kong)*. 2018;26(2):2309499018785647. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2309499018785647>.
47. Wang Q, Goswami K, Shohat N, Aalirezaie A, Manrique J, Parvizi J. Longer Operative Time Results in a Higher Rate of Subsequent Periprosthetic Joint Infection in Patients Undergoing Primary Joint Arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty*. 2019;34(5):947-53. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2019.01.027>.
48. Hart A, Antoniou J, Brin YS, Huk OL, Zukor DJ, Bergeron SG. Simultaneous Bilateral Versus Unilateral Total Knee Arthroplasty: A Comparison of 30-Day Readmission Rates and Major Complications. *J Arthroplasty*. 2016;31(1):31-5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2015.07.031>.
49. Sheth DS, Cafri G, Paxton EW, Namba RS. Bilateral Simultaneous vs Staged Total Knee Arthroplasty: A Comparison of Complications and Mortality. *J Arthroplasty*. 2016;31(9 Suppl):212-6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2016.03.018>.
50. Bini SA, Khatod M, Inacio MC, Paxton EW. Same-day versus staged bilateral total knee arthroplasty poses no increase in complications in 6672 primary procedures. *J Arthroplasty*. 2014;29(4):694-7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2012.09.009>.
51. Parvizi J, Pour AE, Peak EL, Sharkey PF, Hozack WJ, Rothman RH. One-stage bilateral total hip arthroplasty compared with unilateral total hip arthroplasty: a prospective study. *J Arthroplasty*. 2006;21(6 Suppl 2):26-31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2006.04.013>.
52. Balato G, Barbaric K, Bičanić G, Bini S, Chen J, Crnogoga K, Kenanidis E, Giori N, Goel R, Hirschmann M, Marcacci M, AmatMateu C, Nam D, Shao H, Shen B, Tarabichi M, Tarabichi S, Tsiroidis E, Tzavellas AN. Hip and knee section, prevention, surgical technique: proceedings of international consensus on orthopedic infections. *J Arthroplasty*. 2019;34(2S):S301-7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2018.09.015>.
53. Kim K, Zhu M, Munro JT, Young SW. Glove change to reduce the risk of surgical site infection or prosthetic joint infection in arthroplasty surgeries: a systematic review. *ANZ J Surg*. 2019;89(9):1009-15. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ans.14936>.
54. Siddiqi A, Forte SA, Docter S, Bryant D, Sheth NP, Chen AF. Perioperative Antibiotic Prophylaxis in Total Joint Arthroplasty: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2019;101(9):828-42. <https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.18.00990>.
55. Christensen DD, Moschetti WE, Brown MG, Lucas AP, Jevsevar DS, Fillingham YA. Dartmouth Hitchcock Medical Center. Perioperative Antibiotic Prophylaxis: Single and 24-Hour Antibiotic Dosages are Equally Effective at Preventing Periprosthetic Joint Infection in Total Joint Arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty*. 2021;36(7S):S308-13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2021.02.037>.
56. Tan TL, Shohat N, Rondon AJ, Foltz C, Goswami K, Ryan SP, Seyler TM, Parvizi J. Perioperative Antibiotic Prophylaxis in Total Joint Arthroplasty: A Single Dose Is as Effective as Multiple Doses. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2019;101(5):429-37. <https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.18.00336>.
57. Wyles CC, Vargas-Hernandez JS, Carlson SW, Carlson BC, Sierra RJ. Single-Dose Perioperative Antibiotics Do Not Increase the Risk of Surgical

- Site Infection in Unicompartmental Knee Arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty*. 2019;34(7S):S327–30. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2019.02.041>.
58. Stone AH, Kelmel G, MacDonald JH, Clance MR, King PJ. The Impact of Patient-Reported Penicillin Allergy on Risk for Surgical Site Infection in Total Joint Arthroplasty. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. 2019;27(22):854–60. <https://doi.org/10.5435/JAAOS-D-18-00709>.
  59. Zastrow RK, Huang HH, Galatz LM, Saunders-Hao P, Poeran J, Moucha CS. Characteristics of Antibiotic Prophylaxis and Risk of Surgical Site Infections in Primary Total Hip and Knee Arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty*. 2020;35(9):2581–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2020.04.025>.
  60. Morris AJ, Roberts SA, Grae N, Frampton CM. Surgical site infection rate is higher following hip and knee arthroplasty when cefazolin is under-dosed. *Am J Health Syst Pharm*. 2020;77(6):434–40. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ajhp/zx344>.
  61. Westberg M, Frihagen F, Brun OC, Figved W, Grøgaard B, Valland H, Wangen H, Snorrason F. Effectiveness of gentamicin-containing collagen sponges for prevention of surgical site infection after hip arthroplasty: a multicenter randomized trial. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2015;60(12):1752–9. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/civ162>.
  62. Peng Z, Lin X, Kuang X, Teng Z, Lu S. The application of topical vancomycin powder for the prevention of surgical site infections in primary total hip and knee arthroplasty: A meta-analysis. *Orthop Traumatol Surg Res*. 2021;107(4):102741. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.otsr.2020.09.006>.
  63. Buchalter DB, Kirby DJ, Teo GM, Iorio R, Aggarwal VK, Long WJ. Topical Vancomycin Powder and Dilute Povidone-Iodine Lavage Reduce the Rate of Early Periprosthetic Joint Infection After Primary Total Knee Arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty*. 2021;36(1):286–290.e1. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2020.07.064>.
  64. Doman DM, Young AM, Buller LT, Deckard ER, Meneghini RM. Comparison of Surgical Site Complications With Negative Pressure Wound Therapy vs Silver Impregnated Dressing in High-Risk Total Knee Arthroplasty Patients: A Matched Cohort Study. *J Arthroplasty*. 2021:S0883–5403(21)00512-X. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2021.05.030>.
  65. Kuo FC, Chen B, Lee MS, Yen SH, Wang JW. AQUACEL® Ag Surgical Dressing Reduces Surgical Site Infection and Improves Patient Satisfaction in Minimally Invasive Total Knee Arthroplasty: A Prospective, Randomized, Controlled Study *Biomed Res Int*. 2017;2017:1262108. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/1262108>.
  66. Sprowson AP, Jensen C, Parsons N, Partington P, Emmerson K, Carluke I, Asaad S, Pratt R, Muller S, Ahmed I, Reed MR. The effect of triclosan-coated sutures on the rate of surgical site infection after hip and knee arthroplasty: a double-blind randomized controlled trial of 2546 patients. *Bone Joint J*. 2018;100-B(3):296–302. <https://doi.org/10.1302/0301-620X.100B3.BJJ-2017-0247.R1>.
  67. Sprowson AP, Jensen C, Ahmed I, Parsons N, Partington P, Emmerson K, Carluke I, Asaad S, Pratt R, Muller S, Reed MR. Infographic: Triclosan-coated sutures and surgical site infections after hip and knee arthroplasty. *Bone Joint J*. 2018;100-B(3):294–5. <https://doi.org/10.1302/0301-620X.100B3.BJJ-2018-0099>.
  68. Saleh K, Olson M, Resig S, Bershadsky B, Kuskowski M, Gioe T, Robinson H, Schmidt R, McElfresh E. Predictors of wound infection in hip and knee joint replacement: results from a 20 year surveillance program. *J Orthop Res*. 2002;20(3):506–15. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0736-0266\(01\)00153-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0736-0266(01)00153-X).
  69. Tandon T, Tadros BJ, Akehurst H, Avasthi A, Hill R, Rao M. Risk of Surgical Site Infection in Elective Hip and Knee Replacements After Confirmed Eradication of MRSA in Chronic Carriers. *J Arthroplasty*. 2017;32(12):3711–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2017.06.036>.
  70. Weiser MC, Moucha CS. The Current State of Screening and Decolonization for the Prevention of *Staphylococcus aureus* Surgical Site Infection After Total Hip and Knee Arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2015;97(17):1449–58. <https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.N.01114>.
  71. Zhu X, Sun X, Zeng Y, Feng W, Li J, Zeng J, Zeng Y. Can nasal *Staphylococcus aureus* screening and decolonization prior to elective total joint arthroplasty reduce surgical site and prosthesis-related infections? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Orthop Surg Res*. 2020;15(1):60. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13018-020-01601-0>.
  72. Ribau AI, Collins JE, Chen AF, Sousa RJ. Is Preoperative *Staphylococcus aureus* Screening and Decolonization Effective at Reducing Surgical Site Infection in Patients Undergoing Orthopedic Surgery? A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis With a Special Focus on Elective Total Joint Arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty*. 2021;36(2):752–766.e6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2020.08.014>.
  73. Finkelstein R, Eluk O, Mashiach T, Levin D, Peskin B, Nierenberg G, Karkabi S, Soudri M. Reducing surgical site infections following total hip and knee arthroplasty: an Israeli experience. *Musculoskelet Surg*. 2017;101(3):219–25. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12306-017-0471-2> Epub 2017 Mar 21.
  74. Ong KL, Kurtz SM, Lau E, Bozic KJ, Berry DJ, Parvizi J. Prosthetic joint infection risk after total hip arthroplasty in the Medicare population. *J Arthroplasty*. 2009;24(6 Suppl):105–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2009.04.027>.
  75. Smucny M, Menendez ME, Ring D, Feeley BT, Zhang AL. Inpatient surgical site infection after shoulder arthroplasty. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg*. 2015;24(5):747–53. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jse.2014.12.024>.
  76. Anthony CA, Peterson RA, Sewell DK, Polgreen LA, Simmering JE, Callaghan JJ, Polgreen PM. The Seasonal Variability of Surgical Site Infections in Knee and Hip Arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty*. 2018;33(2):510–514.e1. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2017.10.043>.
  77. Triantafyllopoulos G, Stundner O, Memtsoudis S, Poultides LA. Patient, Surgery, and Hospital Related Risk Factors for Surgical Site Infections following Total Hip Arthroplasty. *ScientificWorldJournal*. 2015;2015:979560. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/979560>.
  78. Kane P, Chen C, Post Z, Radcliff K, Orozco F, Ong A. Seasonality of infection rates after total joint arthroplasty. *Orthopedics*. 2014;37(2):e182–6. <https://doi.org/10.3928/01477447-20140124-23>.
  79. Yamagami R, Inui H, Jo T, Kawata M, Taketomi S, Kono K, Kawaguchi K, Sameshima S, Kage T, Matsui H, Fushimi K, Yasunaga H, Tanaka S. Uni-compartmental knee arthroplasty is associated with lower proportions of surgical site infection compared with total knee arthroplasty: A retrospective nationwide database study. *Knee*. 2021;28:124–30. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knee.2020.11.017>.
  80. McBride ME, Duncan WC, Knox JM. The environment and the microbial ecology of human skin. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 1977;33(3):603–8. <https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.33.3.603-608.1977>.

## Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

### Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more [biomedcentral.com/submissions](https://biomedcentral.com/submissions)

